



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,172	10/04/2004	Reddy Bandi Parthasaradhi	H1089/20016	5924
3000 CAESAR, RIV	7590 08/02/2007 YISE, BERNSTEIN,		EXAM	INER
COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD. 11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER			SACKEY, EBENEZER O	
1635 MARKE			EXAMINER	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19103-2212	•	1624	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510,172	PARTHASARADHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EBENEZER SACKEY	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ODATE OF THIS COMMUNIO R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	• •	,	
	This action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	3
closed in accordance with the practice und	·	• •	. ,
Disposition of Claims			.•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ov the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		andia attau Ata	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
 Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the International Bu 	·	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received	
doc in a analonou dotainou ombo dotion for a		COOTVOG.	
	•		
AM			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ [] Interdiction (
 7) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) 2) District of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail_Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of It	formal Patent Application	,
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	о) <u>П</u> Ошег	<u>_</u> ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/510,172

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5 are, drawn to crystalline gatifloxacin sesquihydrate Form H1.

Group II, claim(s) 6-12 are, drawn to crystalline gatifloxacin Form H2 and process for preparing Form H2.

Group III, claim(s) 13-19 are, drawn to crystalline gatifloxacin Form H3 and process for preparing Form H3.

Group IV, claim(s) 20-24 are, drawn to crystalline gatifloxacin Form H4 and process for preparing Form H4.

Group V, claim(s) 25-30 are, drawn to crystalline gatifloxacin Form H5 and process for preparing Form H5.

Group VI, claim(s) 31-36 are, drawn to complex composition containing crystalline gatifloxacin Forms H1, H2, H3, H4 and H5.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the compounds of Group I clearly have different special technical features (x-ray diffractograms) than those of Groups II-V and neither of the diffractograms of Groups I, II, III or V and the sesquihydrate of Groups I and IV define a contribution over the prior art. The various x-ray diffraction spectrums vary extensively and when taken as a whole results in vastly different compounds. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered lacking and a restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,172

Art Unit: 1624

A telephone call was made to Robert S. Silver on 07/27/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Sackey whose telephone number is (571) 272-0704. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

EOS July 30, 2007

James O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1624, Group 1600 Technology Center 1